

**Models of computation (MOD) 2015/16**  
 Appello Straordinario – Nov. 2, 2016

[Ex. 1] Let  $var : (Aexp \cup Bexp) \rightarrow \wp(\mathbf{Loc})$  and  $asgn : Com \rightarrow \wp(\mathbf{Loc})$  be the functions that return, respectively, the set of variables appearing in an expression and the set of variables appearing in the left-hand side of some assignment within a command.

1. Define  $var$  and prove that the predicates below hold (for all  $a, b, \sigma, n, v$ ):

$$P(\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall \sigma'. (\forall y \in var(a). \sigma(y) = \sigma'(y)) \Rightarrow \langle a, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow n$$

$$Q(\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall \sigma'. (\forall y \in var(b). \sigma(y) = \sigma'(y)) \Rightarrow \langle b, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow v$$

You can safely skip the proofs of cases analogous to ones already shown.

2. Define  $asgn$  and prove that the following predicate holds (for all  $c, \sigma, \sigma'$ ):

$$R(\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall y \notin asgn(c). \sigma(y) = \sigma'(y)$$

3. Let  $b \in Bexp$  and  $c \in Com$  such that  $var(b) \cap asgn(c) = \emptyset$ .  
 Exploit the above properties and the the rule for divergence to prove that  $\forall \sigma. (\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{true} \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ c, \sigma \rangle \not\rightarrow)$ .

[Ex. 2] A relation  $R \subseteq X \times X$  over a set  $X$  is *transitive* if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$((x, y) \in R \wedge (y, z) \in R) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in R.$$

Let  $\mathbf{TR} \subseteq \wp(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$  be the set of transitive relations over natural numbers. Obviously,  $(\mathbf{TR}, \subseteq)$  is a partial order whose bottom element is  $\emptyset$ .

1. Prove that  $(\mathbf{TR}, \subseteq)$  is complete.
2. Consider the function  $f : (\mathbf{TR}, \subseteq) \rightarrow (\wp(\mathbb{N}), \subseteq)$  defined by letting

$$\forall R \in \mathbf{TR}. f(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \mid \exists y. (x, y) \in R \vee (y, x) \in R\}$$

Prove that  $f$  is monotone and continuous.

[Ex. 3] Modify the operational semantics of the conditional construct of HOFL so that **if**  $t$  **then**  $t_0$  **else**  $t_1$  has a canonical form if and only if all terms  $t, t_0, t_1$  have canonical forms. Then define the recursive HOFL term *fact* for computing the factorial and explain the reason why the term  $(fact\ 0)$  has no canonical form according to the new operational semantics.

[Ex. 4] Let us consider the fragment of HM-logic given by the formulas:

$$\phi ::= true \mid \diamond_{\mu} \phi$$

Prove that trace equivalence coincide with the logical equivalence induced by the above fragment of HM-logic.