

# Linguaggi di Programmazione

Roberta Gori

Semantica Denotazionale HOFL-cap 9

# Domini di interpretazioni

# Domini di interpretazioni

$$D_{int} \triangleq \mathbb{Z}_\perp$$

$$D_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} \triangleq (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})_\perp$$

distinguere:

coppia di termini divergenti  
da coppia divergente

$$D_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \triangleq [D_{\tau_1} \rightarrow D_{\tau_2}]_\perp$$

distinguere:

prende arg e diverge  
dalla divergenza senza prendere arg

Esempio

$$D_{int*int} \triangleq (\mathbb{Z}_\perp \times \mathbb{Z}_\perp)_\perp$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{rec}\ p.\ p & (\mathbf{rec}\ x.\ x, \mathbf{rec}\ y.\ y) \\ \perp_{D_{int*int}} & (\perp_{D_{int}}, \perp_{D_{int}}) \end{array}$$

Esempio

$$D_{int \rightarrow int} \triangleq [\mathbb{Z}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\perp]_\perp$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{rec}\ f.\ f & \lambda x.\ \mathbf{rec}\ y.\ y \\ \perp_{D_{int \rightarrow int}} & \lambda d.\ \perp_{D_{int}} \end{array}$$

# Domini di interpretazioni

$$D_{int} \triangleq \mathbb{Z}_\perp \quad D_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} \triangleq (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})_\perp \quad D_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \triangleq [D_{\tau_1} \rightarrow D_{\tau_2}]_\perp$$

Equivalentemente:

$$D_\tau \triangleq (V_\tau)_\perp$$

$$V_{int} \triangleq \mathbb{Z}$$

$$V_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} \triangleq D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2} = (V_{\tau_1})_\perp \times (V_{\tau_2})_\perp$$

$$V_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \triangleq [D_{\tau_1} \rightarrow D_{\tau_2}] = [(V_{\tau_1})_\perp \rightarrow (V_{\tau_2})_\perp]$$

# Domini di interpretazioni

$$t : \tau \quad \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho \in D_\tau$$

/

ambiente

$$\rho : Var \rightarrow \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} D_\tau$$

tipo coerente con  
assegnazione di  
valori alle variabili

$$x : \tau \Rightarrow \rho(x) \in D_\tau$$

definiamo la funzione di interpretazione per ricorsione strutturale

# Semantica Denotazionale

# Constanti

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \begin{array}{c} \llbracket n \rrbracket \\ \int \\ \hline D_{int} = \mathbb{Z}_\perp \end{array}$$

# Variabili

$$\llbracket \underline{x} \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \rho(x)$$
$$\tau \qquad \qquad D_\tau$$

$$x : \tau \Rightarrow \rho(x) \in D_\tau$$

# Operazioni aritmetiche

da dimostrare:  $\underline{\text{op}}_{\perp}$  e' monotona e continua

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{op} \in \{+, -, \times\} \\ \llbracket t_1 \text{ op } t_2 \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket \rho \underline{\text{op}}_{\perp} \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket \rho \\ \begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{\text{int}} \quad \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{\text{int}} \\ \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{\text{int}} \\ \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{D_{\text{int}} = \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{\text{int}} \quad \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{\text{int}} \\ \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{D_{\text{int}} = \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} \quad \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{D_{\text{int}} = \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} \\ \underbrace{\quad \quad}_{D_{\text{int}} = \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\underline{\text{op}}_{\perp} : \mathbb{Z}_{\perp} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}$$

$$v_1 \underline{\text{op}}_{\perp} v_2 \triangleq \begin{cases} \lfloor n_1 \underline{\text{op}} n_2 \rfloor & \text{se } v_1 = \lfloor n_1 \rfloor \text{ e } v_2 = \lfloor n_2 \rfloor \\ \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} & \text{altrimenti } (v_1 = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} \text{ o } v_2 = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_{\perp}}) \end{cases}$$

, chiamata estensione strict

# Condizionale

da dimostrare:  $\text{Cond}_\tau$  is monotona e continua

$$\llbracket \text{if } t \text{ then } t_1 \text{ else } t_2 \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \text{Cond}_\tau( \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho , \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket \rho , \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket \rho )$$

$D_{int} = \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}$        $D_{\tau}$        $D_{\tau}$

$D_{\tau}$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau : \mathbb{Z}_{\perp} \times D_\tau \times D_\tau \rightarrow D_\tau$$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau(v, d_1, d_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} \perp_{D_\tau} & \text{se } v = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} \\ d_1 & \text{se } v = \lfloor 0 \rfloor \\ d_2 & \text{altrimenti } (v = \lfloor n \rfloor \text{ con } n \neq 0) \end{cases}$$

# Pairing

$$D_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} \triangleq (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})_{\perp}$$

$$\llbracket (t_1 , t_2) \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \lfloor ( \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket \rho , \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket \rho ) \rfloor$$

The diagram illustrates the pairing of two terms,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . Each term is enclosed in a bracket with an underline underneath, indicating its type. The type of  $t_1$  is  $\tau_1$ , and the type of  $t_2$  is  $\tau_2$ . The type of the pair  $(t_1, t_2)$  is the product of the individual types,  $\tau_1 * \tau_2$ , with a horizontal bar underneath. This product type is then paired with the type  $\rho$ , resulting in the type  $D_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} = (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})_{\perp}$ .

$$D_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} = (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})_{\perp}$$

The diagram illustrates the pairing of two terms,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . Each term is enclosed in a bracket with an underline underneath, indicating its type. The type of  $t_1$  is  $\tau_1$ , and the type of  $t_2$  is  $\tau_2$ . The type of the pair  $(t_1, t_2)$  is the product of the individual types,  $\tau_1 * \tau_2$ , with a horizontal bar underneath. This product type is then paired with the type  $\rho$ , resulting in the type  $D_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} = (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})_{\perp}$ .

$$D_{\tau_1 * \tau_2} = (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})_{\perp}$$

# Proiezioni

Equivalentemente:  $\llbracket \mathbf{fst}(t) \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \text{let } d \Leftarrow \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho. \pi_1(d)$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fst}(t) \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \pi_1^*( \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho )$$
$$\frac{\tau_1 * \tau_2}{\tau_1} \quad D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2} \rightarrow D_{\tau_1}$$
$$\frac{\tau_1 * \tau_2}{D_{\tau_1} = (D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})^\perp}$$
$$\frac{(D_{\tau_1} \times D_{\tau_2})^\perp \rightarrow D_{\tau_1}}{D_{\tau_1}}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{snd}(t) \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \pi_2^*( \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho )$$

# Astrazione

$$D_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \triangleq [D_{\tau_1} \rightarrow D_{\tau_2}]_{\perp}$$

$$\llbracket \lambda x. \, t \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \lfloor \lambda d. \, \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho[d/x] \rfloor$$
$$D_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} = [D_{\tau_1} \rightarrow D_{\tau_2}]_{\perp}$$
$$[D_{\tau_1} \rightarrow D_{\tau_2}]_{\perp}$$

# Applicazione (lazy)

Equivalentemente:  $\llbracket t \ t_0 \rrbracket \rho \triangleq (\lambda \varphi. \varphi(\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho))^* (\llbracket t \rrbracket \rho)$

$$\llbracket t \ t_0 \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \text{let } \underline{\varphi} \Leftarrow \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho. \underline{\varphi}(\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho)$$

# Ricorsione

$$\llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ t \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ t \rrbracket \rho /_x$$

The diagram illustrates the semantics of a recursive binding. It shows two horizontal bars representing environments. The left bar, labeled  $D_\tau$ , represents the environment where the variable  $x$  is bound to a term  $t$ . The right bar, also labeled  $D_\tau$ , represents the environment where the variable  $x$  is bound to a recursive call to the same function. Brackets above the bars indicate the scope of the binding: in the left bar, the first two segments are grouped together with a bracket labeled  $\tau$ ; in the right bar, the first three segments are grouped together with a bracket labeled  $D_\tau$ .

# Ricorsione

$$\llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ t \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \text{fix } \lambda d. \ \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho [^d / _x]$$

The diagram illustrates the type derivation for a recursive function. It shows two horizontal lines representing types. The top line, labeled  $D_\tau$ , has three brackets above it:  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$ , and  $D_\tau$ . The bottom line, also labeled  $D_\tau$ , has two brackets below it:  $[[D_\tau \rightarrow D_\tau] \rightarrow D_\tau]$  and  $D_\tau$ .

# Recap

$$[n]\rho \triangleq \lfloor n \rfloor$$

$$[x]\rho \triangleq \rho(x)$$

$$[t_1 \text{ op } t_2]\rho \triangleq [t_1]\rho \underline{\text{op}}_{\perp} [t_2]\rho$$

$$[\text{if } t \text{ then } t_1 \text{ else } t_2]\rho \triangleq \text{Cond}_{\tau}(\ [t]\rho , \ [t_1]\rho , \ [t_2]\rho )$$

$$[(t_1 , t_2)]\rho \triangleq \lfloor ( [t_1]\rho , [t_2]\rho ) \rfloor$$

$$[\text{fst}( t )]\rho \triangleq \pi_1^* ( [t]\rho )$$

$$[\text{snd}( t )]\rho \triangleq \pi_2^* ( [t]\rho )$$

$$[\lambda x. t]\rho \triangleq \lfloor \lambda d. [t]\rho^{[d/x]} \rfloor$$

$$[ t \; t_0 ]\rho \triangleq \text{let } \varphi \Leftarrow [t]\rho. \; \varphi([t_0]\rho)$$

$$[\text{rec } x. t]\rho \triangleq \text{fix } \lambda d. [t]\rho^{[d/x]}$$

# Esempio

$$f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x : \text{int}. \ 3$$

$$\llbracket \lambda x. \ t \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \lfloor \lambda d. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho [^d/x] \rfloor \quad \llbracket n \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \lfloor n \rfloor$$

$$\llbracket f \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket \lambda x. \ 3 \rrbracket \rho = \lfloor \lambda d. \llbracket 3 \rrbracket \rho [^d/x] \rfloor = \lfloor \lambda d. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor$$

# Esempio

$$g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x : \text{int}. \text{ if } x \text{ then } 3 \text{ else } 3$$

$$\llbracket \lambda x. t \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \lfloor \lambda d. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho[d/x] \rfloor$$

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket g \rrbracket \rho &= \llbracket \lambda x. \text{ if } x \text{ then } 3 \text{ else } 3 \rrbracket \rho \\&= \lfloor \lambda d. \llbracket \text{if } x \text{ then } 3 \text{ else } 3 \rrbracket \rho[d/x] \rfloor \\&= \lfloor \lambda d. \text{Cond}(d, \lfloor 3 \rfloor, \lfloor 3 \rfloor) \rfloor \\&= \lfloor \lambda d. \text{let } x \Leftarrow d. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket f \rrbracket \rho \neq \llbracket g \rrbracket \rho$$

$$\lfloor \lambda d. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor$$

# Esempio

$$h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{rec} \ y : int \rightarrow int. \ \lambda x : int. \ 3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket h \rrbracket \rho &= \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ y. \ \lambda x. \ 3 \rrbracket \rho & \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ t \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \text{fix } \lambda d. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho^{[d/x]} \\ &= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \llbracket \lambda x. \ 3 \rrbracket \rho^{[d_y/y]} & \llbracket \lambda x. \ t \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \lfloor \lambda d. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho^{[d/x]} \rfloor \\ &= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \llbracket 3 \rrbracket \rho^{[d_y/y, d_x/x]} \rfloor \\ &= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor & \Gamma_h = \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

$$d_0 = \Gamma_h^0(\perp_{[\mathbb{Z}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\perp]_\perp}) = \perp_{[\mathbb{Z}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\perp]_\perp}$$

$$d_1 = \Gamma_h(d_0) = (\lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor) \perp = \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor$$

$$d_2 = \Gamma_h(d_1) = (\lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor) \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor = d_1$$

# Esempio

$$h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{rec} \ y : int \rightarrow int. \ \lambda x : int. \ 3$$

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket h \rrbracket \rho &= \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ y. \ \lambda x. \ 3 \rrbracket \rho \\&= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \llbracket \lambda x. \ 3 \rrbracket \rho^{[d_y/y]} \\&= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \llbracket 3 \rrbracket \rho^{[d_y/y, d_x/x]} \rfloor \\&= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor \quad \Gamma_h = \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor\end{aligned}$$

$$d_0 = \Gamma_h^0(\perp_{[\mathbb{Z}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\perp]_\perp}) = \perp_{[\mathbb{Z}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\perp]_\perp}$$

$$d_1 = \Gamma_h(d_0) = (\lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor) \perp = \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor$$

Elemento massimale in  $[\mathbb{Z}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\perp]_\perp$   
potremmo già fermarci

# Esempio

$$h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{rec} \ y : int \rightarrow int. \ \lambda x : int. \ 3$$

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket h \rrbracket \rho &= \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ y. \ \lambda x. \ 3 \rrbracket \rho \\&= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \llbracket \lambda x. \ 3 \rrbracket \rho [^{d_y}/_y] \\&= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \llbracket 3 \rrbracket \rho [^{d_y}/_y, ^{d_x}/_x] \rfloor \\&= \text{fix } \lambda d_y. \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket h \rrbracket \rho = \lfloor \lambda d_x. \lfloor 3 \rfloor \rfloor = \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho$$

# Esempio

$x : \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ x \rrbracket \rho &= \text{fix } \lambda d_x. \llbracket x \rrbracket \rho^{[d_x/x]} \\ &= \text{fix } \lambda d_x. \ d_x \end{aligned}$$

$$d_0 = \perp_{D_\tau}$$

$$d_1 = (\lambda d_x. d_x) \ d_0 = d_0 = \perp_{D_\tau}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ x \rrbracket \rho = \perp_{D_\tau}$$

$$x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \quad \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ x \rrbracket \rho = \perp_{[\mathbb{Z}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\perp]_\perp}$$

$$x : \text{int} * \text{int} \quad \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x. \ x \rrbracket \rho = \perp_{(\mathbb{Z}_\perp \times \mathbb{Z}_\perp)_\perp}$$

# Esempio

$$y : \tau_1 \quad z : \tau_2$$

$$\llbracket \lambda y. \mathbf{rec}~z.~z \rrbracket \rho = \lfloor \lambda d_y. \llbracket \mathbf{rec}~z.~z \rrbracket \rho^{[d_y/y]} \rfloor$$

$$= \lfloor \lambda d_y. \perp_{D_{\tau_2}} \rfloor$$

$$= \lfloor \perp_{[D_{\tau_1} \rightarrow D_{\tau_2}]} \rfloor$$

$$= \lfloor \perp_{V_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}} \rfloor$$

$$\neq \perp_{D_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}} = \perp_{(V_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2})_{\perp}}$$

$x : int \rightarrow int$	$\llbracket \mathbf{rec}~x.~x \rrbracket \rho = \perp_{[\mathbb{Z}_{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}]_{\perp}}$	diverge
$y : int, z : int$	$\llbracket \lambda y. \mathbf{rec}~z.~z \rrbracket \rho = \lfloor \perp_{[\mathbb{Z}_{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}]} \rfloor$	aspetta arg e diverge

# Esercizio

$x : \text{int} * \text{int}$  ,  $y : \text{int}$  ,  $z : \text{int}$

$$\llbracket \text{rec } x. \, x \rrbracket \rho \quad \stackrel{?}{=} \quad \llbracket (\text{rec } y. \, y, \text{rec } z. \, z) \rrbracket \rho$$



diverge una coppia  
di computazioni divergenti

$\perp D_{int*int}$

$$\lfloor(\perp_{D_{int}}, \perp_{D_{int}})\rfloor$$

# Lazy vs Eager

# Applicazioni Eager

returns  $\perp$  quando  $\llbracket t \rrbracket \rho = \perp$

lazy  $\llbracket t \; t_0 \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \text{let } \varphi \Leftarrow \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho. \; \varphi(\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho)$

eager  $\llbracket t \; t_0 \rrbracket \rho \triangleq \text{let } \varphi \Leftarrow \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho. \; \text{let } d \Leftarrow \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho. \; \varphi([d])$

returns  $\perp$  quando  $\llbracket t \rrbracket \rho = \perp$  o  $\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho = \perp$

# Definizioni ben definite

# Ben definite

Dobbiamo garantire che tutte le funzioni che abbiamo usato siano monotone e continue,  
in modo che la teoria dei punti fissi di Kleene sia applicabile

$\pi_1 \ \pi_2 \ (\cdot)^*$  apply fix già analizzate  
let

op<sub>⊥</sub> Cond<sub>τ</sub> λ da controllare

## TH. $\underline{\text{op}}_{\perp}$ e' monotono e continuo

$$\underline{\text{op}}_{\perp} : \mathbb{Z}_{\perp} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}$$

$$v_1 \underline{\text{op}}_{\perp} v_2 \triangleq \begin{cases} \lfloor n_1 \underline{\text{op}} n_2 \rfloor & \text{se } v_1 = \lfloor n_1 \rfloor \text{ e } v_2 = \lfloor n_2 \rfloor \\ \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} & \text{altrimenti } (v_1 = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_{\perp}} \text{ o } v_2 = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_{\perp}}) \end{cases}$$

Omettiamo il controllo di monotonicita'

Dal momento che il dominio ha solo catene finite, e' anche continuo

## TH. $\text{Cond}_\tau$ e' monotona e continua

$\text{Cond}_\tau : \mathbb{Z}_\perp \times D_\tau \times D_\tau \rightarrow D_\tau$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau(v, d_1, d_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} \perp_{D_\tau} & \text{se } v = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp} \\ d_1 & \text{se } v = [0] \\ d_2 & \text{altrimenti } (v = [n] \text{ con } n \neq 0) \end{cases}$$

Omettiamo il controllo di monotonicità

Dimostriamo la continuità su ogni parametro separatamente

Il primo parametro e' in  $\mathbb{Z}_\perp$

sono possibili solo catene finite, quindi la continuità è garantita

Dimostriamo la continuità sul secondo parametro

Per il terzo parametro la prova è analoga e viene omessa

(continua)

$$\text{Cond}_\tau : \mathbb{Z}_\perp \times D_\tau \times D_\tau \rightarrow D_\tau$$


$$\text{Cond}_\tau(v, d_1, d_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} \perp_{D_\tau} & \text{se } v = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp} \\ d_1 & \text{se } v = [0] \\ d_2 & \text{altrimenti } (v = [n] \text{ con } n \neq 0) \end{cases}$$

Continuità sul secondo parametro

prendiamo  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_\perp, d \in D_\tau, \{d_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq D_\tau$

vogliamo provare  $\text{Cond}_\tau \left( v, \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d_i, d \right) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Cond}_\tau(v, d_i, d)$

procediamo per analisi dei casi



## (continua)

$$\text{Cond}_\tau : \mathbb{Z}_\perp \times D_\tau \times D_\tau \rightarrow D_\tau$$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau(v, d_1, d_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} \perp_{D_\tau} & \text{se } v = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp} \\ d_1 & \text{se } v = \lfloor 0 \rfloor \\ d_2 & \text{altrimenti } (v = \lfloor n \rfloor \text{ con } n \neq 0) \end{cases}$$

$$v = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp}$$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau \left( \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp}, \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d_i, d \right) = \perp_{D_\tau} = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \perp_{D_\tau} = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Cond}_\tau(\perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp}, d_i, d)$$

## (continua)

$$\text{Cond}_\tau : \mathbb{Z}_\perp \times D_\tau \times D_\tau \rightarrow D_\tau$$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau(v, d_1, d_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} \perp_{D_\tau} & \text{se } v = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp} \\ d_1 & \text{se } v = \lfloor 0 \rfloor \\ d_2 & \text{altrimenti (} v = \lfloor n \rfloor \text{ con } n \neq 0 \text{)} \end{cases}$$

$$v = \lfloor 0 \rfloor$$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau \left( \lfloor 0 \rfloor, \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d_i, d \right) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d_i = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Cond}_\tau(\lfloor 0 \rfloor, d_i, d)$$

## (continua)

$$\text{Cond}_\tau : \mathbb{Z}_\perp \times D_\tau \times D_\tau \rightarrow D_\tau$$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau(v, d_1, d_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} \perp_{D_\tau} & \text{se } v = \perp_{\mathbb{Z}_\perp} \\ d_1 & \text{se } v = \lfloor 0 \rfloor \\ d_2 & \text{altrimenti } (v = \lfloor n \rfloor \text{ con } n \neq 0) \end{cases}$$

$$v = \lfloor n \rfloor, \quad n \neq 0$$

$$\text{Cond}_\tau \left( \lfloor n \rfloor, \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d_i, d \right) = d = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Cond}_\tau(\lfloor n \rfloor, d_i, d)$$

## TH. la lambda astrazione e' monotona e continua

$t : \tau \quad \lambda d. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho^{[d/x]} \text{ e' continua}$

ci concentriamo su una proprietà più forte

$\lambda \tilde{d}. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho^{[\tilde{d}/\tilde{x}]} \text{ e' continua}$

la prova e' per induzione strutturale su  $t$

(provateci)

**Corollary**  $t : \tau_0 \rightarrow \tau \quad fix \quad \lambda d. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho^{[d/x]} \text{ e' continua}$

(il limite di funzioni continue è continuo)

# Proprieta' principali

# Lemma di sostituzione

$x, t_0 : \tau_0$   
 $t : \tau$

$\llbracket t[t_0/x] \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho[\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho / x]$

sostituzione sintattica

update dell'ambiente

la prova e' per induzione strutturale su  $t$   
(provateci)

# Composizionalità'

Il lemma di sostituzione  $\llbracket t^{[t_0/x]} \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho^{[\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho/x]}$  è importante perché garantisce la composizionalità della semantica denotazionale

**TH.**       $\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad \llbracket t^{[t_1/x]} \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t^{[t_2/x]} \rrbracket \rho$

*proof.* assumiamo  $\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket \rho$

$$[\![t^{[t_1]/x}]\!] \rho = [\![t]\!] \rho^{[\![t_1]\!] \rho / x} = [\![t]\!] \rho^{[\![t_2]\!] \rho / x} = [\![t^{[t_2]/x}]\!] \rho$$

# lemma $\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket$ di sostituzione

# lemma di sostituzione

# Solo le variabili free hanno importanza

**TH.**  $t : \tau$

$$\forall x \in \text{fv}(t). \rho(x) = \rho'(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho'$$

la prova e' per induzione strutturale su  $t$

(provateci)

**Corollary**  $t$  chiuso  $\Rightarrow \forall \rho, \rho'. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho'$

**TH.** I termini canonici non sono bottom

$$c \in C_\tau \Rightarrow \forall \rho. \llbracket c \rrbracket \rho \neq \perp_{D_\tau}$$

*prova.* per induzione sulle regole dei termini canonici

$$P(c \in C_\tau) \triangleq \forall \rho. \llbracket c \rrbracket \rho \neq \perp_{D_\tau}$$

$$\overline{n \in C_{int}}$$

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket \rho = \lfloor n \rfloor \neq \perp_{D_{int}}$$

$$\frac{t_0 : \tau_0 \quad t_1 : \tau_1 \quad t_0, t_1 \text{ closed}}{(t_0, t_1) \in C_{\tau_0 * \tau_1}} \quad \llbracket (t_0, t_1) \rrbracket \rho = \lfloor (\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \rho, \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket \rho) \rfloor \neq \perp_{D_{\tau_0 * \tau_1}}$$

$$\frac{\lambda x. t : \tau_0 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \lambda x. t \text{ closed}}{\lambda x. t \in C_{\tau_0 \rightarrow \tau_1}} \quad \llbracket \lambda x. t \rrbracket \rho = \lfloor \lambda d. \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho[d/x] \rfloor \neq \perp_{D_{\tau_0 \rightarrow \tau_1}}$$