Master Program in Data Science and Business Informatics

Statistics for Data Science

Lesson 33 - Multiple comparisons. Multiple sample testing of the mean

Salvatore Ruggieri

Department of Computer Science University of Pisa salvatore.ruggieri@unipi.it

The multiple comparisons problem

• Single test H_0 : $\theta=0$, with significance level $\alpha=0.05$

[false positive rate]

- test is called *significant* when we reject H_0
- $ightharpoonup \alpha$ is Type I error, probability of rejecting H_0 when it is true
- Multiple tests, say m = 20
 - ▶ E.g., $H_0^i: \theta_i = 0$ for i = 1, ..., m where θ_i is the expectation of a subpopulation
- What is the probability of rejecting at least one H_0^i when all of them are true?
 - ► For independent tests: $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \{p_i \leq \alpha\}) = 1 P(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \{p_i > \alpha\}) = 1 (1 \alpha)^m$ and then $1 (0.95)^{20} \approx 0.64$
 - ▶ For dependent tests: $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \{p_i \leq \alpha\}) \leq \sum_i P(\{p_i \leq \alpha\}) = m \cdot \alpha$, and then $\leq 20 \cdot 0.05 = 1$

Family-wise error rate (FWER)

The FWER is the probability of making at least one Type I error in a family of n tests. If the tests are independent:

$$\alpha_{FWER} = 1 - (1 - \alpha)^m$$

If the test are dependent: $\alpha_{FWER} \leq m \cdot \alpha$

Multiple comparisons: corrections

Objective: achieve significant tests ($p \le \alpha'$) such that $\alpha_{FWER} \le \alpha$

- Bonferroni correction (most conservative one):
 - scale significance level $\alpha' = \alpha/m$

[invert $\alpha = \mathbf{m} \cdot \alpha'$]

▶ Notice: $p \le \alpha'$ is equivalent to scale p-values and test $p \cdot m \le \alpha$

Thus
$$\alpha_{FWER} \leq m \cdot \alpha' = \alpha$$

- Šidák correction (exact for independent tests):
 - scale significance level $\alpha' = 1 (1 \alpha)^{1/m}$

[invert $\alpha = 1 - (1 - \alpha')^m$]

▶ Notice: $p \le \alpha'$ is equivalent to scale p-values and test $1 - (1 - p)^m \le \alpha$

Thus
$$\alpha_{FWER} = 1 - (1 - \alpha')^m = \alpha$$

False Discovery Rate and q-values

		True state of nature	
		H_0 is true	H_1 is true
Our decision on the basis of the data	Reject H_0	False Positive	True Positive
	Not reject H_0	True Negative	False Negative

- False Positive Rate: FPR = FP/(FP + TN)
 - ▶ Corrections control for *FPR* since $FWER = P(FP > 0|H_0^i \ i = 1,...,m)$
- Drawback: acting on α increases FNR = FN/(FN + TP)
- False Discovery Rate: FDR = FP/(FP + TP)

► FDR = 0.05 means 5% of rejected H_0 's are actually true

- *q*-value is $P(H_0|T \ge t)$
 - ▶ FDR can be controlled by requiring $q \le threshold$

[vs. $p = P(T \ge t|H_0)$]

[Korthauer et al. 2019]

Omnibus tests and post-hoc tests

- $H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \ldots = \theta_k [= 0]$
- $H_1: \theta_i \neq \theta_j$ for some $i \neq j$
- Omnibus tests detect any of several possible differences
 - Advantage: no need to pre-specify which treatments are to be compared and then no need to adjust for making multiple comparisons
- If H_1 is rejected (test significant), a post-hoc test to find which $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$
 - ► Everything to everything post-hoc compare all pairs
 - ▶ One to everything post-hoc compare a new population to all the others
- We distinguish a few cases:
 - ▶ Multiple linear regression (normal errors + homogeneity of variances, i.e., $U_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$):
 - \Box *F*-test + t-test
 - ► Equality of means (normal distributions + homogeneity of variances):
 - □ ANOVA + Tukey/Dunnett
 - ► Equality of means (general distributions):
 - □ Friedman + Nemenyi

F-test for multiple linear regression

- $\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{U}$, where $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, $\boldsymbol{U} = (U_1, \dots, U_n)$, and $\boldsymbol{X} = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n)$
 - $\triangleright \beta^T = (\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_i = (1, x_i^1, \dots, x_i^k)$
 - ▶ Unexplained (residual) error $SSE = S(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i x_i \cdot \beta)^2$
- Null model (or intercept-only model): $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{1} \cdot \alpha + \mathbf{U}$
 - ► Total error $SST = S(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \bar{y}_n)^2$

[residuals of the null model]

- Explained error $SSR = SST SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{y}_n x_i \cdot \beta)^2$
- Coefficient of determination $R^2 = SSR/SST = 1 SSE/SST$

[See Lesson 20]

- Is the model useful? Fraction of explained error
- Is the model statistically significant? [vs a specific β_i significant? See Lesson 29]
- $H_0: \beta_1 = \ldots = \beta_k = 0$ $H_1: \beta_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$
- Test statistic: $F = \frac{SSR}{SSE} \frac{n-k-1}{k} \sim F(k, n-k-1)$

Equality of means: ANOVA

• $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k$

[generalization of two sample t-test]

- $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ for some $i \neq j$
- datasets $y_1^j, \ldots, y_{n_j}^j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$
 - ► Assumption: normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) + homogeneity of variances (Bartlett test)
 - responses of k-1 treatments and 1 control group [one way ANOVA]
 - lacktriangleright accuracies of k classifiers over $n_j=n$ datasets [repeated measures/two way ANOVA]
- Linear regression model over dummy encoded *j*:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_{k-1} x_{k-1}$$

- $\alpha = \mu_k$ is the mean of the reference group (j = k)
- $\beta_j = \mu_j \mu_k$
- ▶ in R: $lm(Y \sim Group)$ where Group contains the labels of j = 1, ..., k
- F-test (over linear regression): $H_0: \beta_1 = \ldots = \beta_k = 0$, i.e., $\mu_j = \mu_k$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$
- Tukey HSD (Honest Significant Differences) is an all-pairs post-hoc test
- Dunnet test is a one-to-everything test

Non-parametric test of equality of means: Friedman

- $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k$
- $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ for some $i \neq j$
- datasets x_1^j, \ldots, x_n^j for $j = 1, \ldots, k$
 - accuracies of k classifiers over n datasets
- Let r_i^j be the rank of x_i^j in x_i¹,...,x_i^k
 e.g., jth classifier w.r.t. ith dataset
- Average rank of classifier: $R_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^j$
- Under H_0 , we have $R_1 = \ldots = R_k$ and, for n and k large:

$$\chi_F^2 = \frac{12n}{k(k+1)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k R_j^2 - \frac{k(k+1)^2}{4} \right) \sim \chi^2(k)$$

[paired observations/repeated measures]

- Nemenyi test is an all-pairs post-hoc test
- Bonferroni correction is a one-to-everything test
- For unpaired observations, use Kruskal-Wallis test instead of Friedman test

Optional reference

• On confidence intervals and statistical tests (with R code)



Myles Hollander, Douglas A. Wolfe, and Eric Chicken (2014)
Nonparametric Statistical Methods.

3rd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

• On False Discovery Rate



Keegan Korthauer, Patrick K. Kimes, Claire Duvallet, Alejandro Reyes, Ayshwarya Subramanian, Mingxiang Teng, Chinmay Shukla, Eric J. Alm, and Stephanie C. Hicks (2019)

A practical guide to methods controlling false discoveries in computational biology.

Genome Biology 20, article 118